

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,744	09/15/2003	Gin Wu	021218.0009US2	1532
34284 Rutan & Tucke	7590 11/08/2007 r, LLP.		EXAM	INER
Hani Z. Sayed			MACNEILL, ELIZABETH	
611 ANTON B SUITE 1400	LVD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
COSTA MESA, CA 92626		3767		
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	11/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/662,744	WU, GIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Elizabeth R. MacNeill	3767				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 O	ctober 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
, —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 14-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 14-23 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed are all accomposed and accomposed are all all accomposed and accomposed are all all accomposed and accomposed are all all all accomposed are all all all all all all all all all al	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 23 is objected to because of the following informalities: the proximal and distal ends of the hub lack antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 14,15,17,18,22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by De Santis (US 5,560,373)
- De Santis teaches a drill bit with a shaft (12), a first opening (end distal end of 13), a second opening (at the notch), a lumen (13), and a notch (46). Fig 7. The shaft is disposed in the hub (Fig 1).
- 2. Claims 14,15,17,19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shaw (US 5,261,818).

Shaw teaches a shaft (13) with a cutting surface (14), a lumen (18), with first (34) and second openings (22) and an open notch (26). Shaw also teaches a method of drilling through bone and injecting a substance through needle (Summary of the invention).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/662,744 Page 3

Art Unit: 3767

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaw.

Shaw teaches the drill as above, but does not teach the length of the drill or the use of a hypodermic needle to inject the substance. It is well known in the art to provide medications in a hypodermic needle/syringe system and to inject the substance in the body. The lumen of the drill bit would be capable of use with a hypodermic needle and one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have expected the device to be used with a hypodermic needle.

Regarding claim 16, Shaw discloses the entire length of the drill is 20-25 mm (0.78-.98 inches). The notches do not extend the entire length of the drill, and appear to extend roughly 1/4- 1/3 the length of the shaft (about 0.19 inches). The examiner believes this is "about 0.1-0.125 inches." Additionally, the drill bit is intended for use in the mouth of a human and therefore must meet some dimensional limits in order to be functional.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 23 October 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that De Santis does not teach a drill for hard tissue or that the notch is longer than the tissue. In response to applicant's argument that the device is used for hard tissue, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the

Art Unit: 3767

prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Additionally, the device would function equally well if the notch was longer than the tissue.

6. Regarding Shaw, applicant has argued that debris does not enter the hole (34). This is not convincing because there is nothing blocking the hole to prevent lose debris and this is an intended use limitation. Regarding the length of the notch, this limitation does not provide a structural difference between the prior art and the claimed invention since "a thickness of the hard tissue" is not specific to any location on the hard tissue and is also an intended use limitation.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

-Application/Control Number: 10/662,744 Page 5

Art Unit: 3767

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth R. MacNeill whose telephone number is (571)-272-9970. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ERM Wan Will

KEVIN C. SIRMONS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Rum Chronon